

Item 27.**Parking - No Parking - Wilson Lane, Darlington**

TRIM Container No.: 2019/173859

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Committee endorse the reallocation of parking on the southern side of Wilson Lane, Darlington between the points 45.5 metres and 52.9 metres (one car space) west of Codrington Street, as “No Parking”.

Voting Members for this Item

Voting Members	Support	Object
City of Sydney	[Insert]	[Insert]
Roads and Maritime Services	[Insert]	[Insert]
NSW Police – Newtown PAC	[Insert]	[Insert]
Representative for the Member for Newtown	[Insert]	[Insert]

Advice

Advice will be updated after the meeting.

Background

A resident of Abercrombie Street, Darlington has requested consideration of “No Parking” at the rear of the property in Wilson Lane to ensure vehicle access to their garage.

Comments

The kerb space on the southern side of Wilson Lane, Darlington, between Codrington and Golden Grove Streets, where the changes are proposed, is currently signposted as “1P 8am-10pm Permit Holders Excepted Area 32.

Wilson Lane is approximately 4.5 metres wide and mainly provides rear-lane property access to houses fronting Wilson and Abercrombie Streets. However there are also a number of terrace houses which directly front onto Wilson Lane.

The NSW Road Rules 2014 prohibit drivers from parking at any time across a driveway, or in any other way which blocks access to a driveway. In narrow streets like Wilson Lane, car parking opposite driveways obstruct or prevent vehicles from entering or exiting these driveways. Section 6 of the Roads Act 1993 gives adjoining land owners a right of vehicles access to the public road.

It is also noted that residents of properties with driveways to off-street parking (approved by development consent) are not eligible for on-street parking permits to ensure they use their off-street facilities.

On-site inspections show there is a need to provide a “No Parking” restriction to maintain rear-lane property access.

Consultation

The City consulted local residents and businesses in the area. There were 78 letters sent out with no responses supporting and five responses opposing the proposal due to loss of parking.

Financial

Funds are available in the current budget.

MICHAEL RIMAC, ENGINEERING TRAFFIC OFFICER